

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/702,298	10/31/2000	Steven A. Bogen	1159.1004-005	3668
21005	7590 04/01/2004		· EXAMINER	
	N, BROOK, SMITH	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 91			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			1743	

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>;</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/702,298	BOGEN ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lyle A Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dataset been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	's Brief must be filed within the pFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<u>-</u>		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 3-18			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		X	^
		Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants state MaWhinney fails to teach the claimed liquid dispenser capable of movement relative to the dispenser/slide housing. MaWhinney teaches in last paragraph on page 595 "... 24 slide chamber slave units, each with its own reagent carousel". The Office has read this as teaching each slide chamber is in communication with the multiple reagent in the carousel. The movement of liquid dispensers(e.g. each reagent on the carousel has been read on the liquid dispensers) is relative to the slide chambers(e.g. each slide chamber is in communication with different reagents on the carousel) has been properly read on the instant claims..